

1
2
3
4
5 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
6 **DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

7 PAUL MCREARY,
8

9 *Petitioner,*

10 vs.

11 RENEE BAKER, *et al.*,

12 *Respondents.*

3:14-cv-00055-LRH-VPC

13 ORDER

14 Petitioner has filed a habeas petition and a notice of appeal from a state supreme court order
15 without properly commencing the action by paying the filing fee or filing a pauper application.

16 It does not appear that a dismissal without prejudice would cause a promptly filed and properly
17 commenced new action to be untimely or otherwise cause substantial prejudice.¹ The present
18 improperly-commenced action therefore will be dismissed without prejudice to the filing of a new
19 action.

20
21 ¹The papers submitted along with the online dockets of the state courts reflect the following:

22 Petitioner Paul McCreary seeks to challenge a prison disciplinary decision. The hearing was held on August
23 29, 2012, and a disciplinary appeal followed. Petitioner filed a state habeas petition on February 1, 2013. Proceedings
24 on that petition continued through to a January 15, 2014, decision by the state supreme court. The remittitur likely will
issue on or about Monday, February 10, 2014. Petitioner thus has substantial time remaining in the one-year federal
limitation period within which to properly commence a new federal habeas action.

25 Moreover, to the extent that petitioner seeks to file a notice of appeal from the state supreme court's decision,
26 he may not do that in the same proceeding as a habeas action and he further must pay a \$350.00 filing fee pursuant to the
provisions of the Prison Litigation Reform Act rather than the \$5.00 habeas filing fee. Petitioner should note, however,
27 that a federal district court does not have appellate jurisdiction over a state supreme court.

28 Petitioner at all times remains responsible for calculating applicable limitation periods and timely and properly
commencing an appropriate action in a proper forum.

1 IT THEREFORE IS ORDERED that this action shall be DISMISSED without prejudice to the
2 filing of a new petition in a new action accompanied by either the required filing fee or a properly
3 completed application to proceed *in forma pauperis*.

4 IT FURTHER IS ORDERED that a certificate of appealability is DENIED. Jurists of reason
5 would not find the dismissal of this improperly-commenced action without prejudice to be debatable
6 or wrong, as no substantial prejudice will result. See text at n.1 and n.1.

7 The Clerk of Court shall SEND petitioner two copies each of a noncapital habeas petition form
8 and a pauper form along with one copy of the instructions for the forms and of the papers submitted in
9 this action.

10 The Clerk of Court shall enter final judgment dismissing this action without prejudice.

11 DATED this 28th day of January, 2014.

12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28



LARRY R. HICKS
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE